UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,061	01/30/2004	Chae-Whan Lim	46259	5489
	7590 03/28/200 ABRAMS, BERDO &	EXAMINER		
1300 19TH STI		MOHR, ERIC JOHN		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTOI	N,, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence			Application No. Applicant(s)					
			10/767,061		LIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			ERIC J. MOHR		2624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	ers on the cover shee	et with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMI a). In no event, however, m apply and will expire SIX (6) use the application to becor	UNICATION ay a reply be tim MONTHS from to the ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 21 Dec	ember 2007					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
3)	/ 							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
		annlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	otion and/or o	lastian requirement					
0)[Claim(s) are subject to resur	ction and/or e	nection requirement					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 Decembe</u>	<u>er 2007</u> is/are:	: a)⊠ accepted or l	b)∏ objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	is required if the drav	wing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper 5) Notice	iew Summary (· No(s)/Mail Da e of Informal Pa :				

Application/Control Number: 10/767,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Response to Amendment

Specification

1. Applicants' response to the last Office Action, filed December 21, 2007 has been entered and made of record.

- 2. The objection to the title as not descriptive has been withdrawn in light of the amended title.
- 3. The objection to the drawings has been withdrawn in light of the amended drawing sheets.
- 4. The amended specification has been entered and made of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, filed December 21, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 17, 19, and 20 under Nakamura (35 U.S.C. 102(b)) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Nakamura in view of Barski (35 U.S.C. 103(a)).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2624

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 7-11, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osamu Nakamura et al., "Extraction Of Photographic Area From Document Images" (hereinafter "Nakamura"), further in view of Barski et al., US 5,212,741 (hereinafter "Barski").

Regarding claims 10 and 20, which are representative of claims 1, 7, 9, 11, 17, and 19, Nakamura discloses a method and device for binarizing an image (see page 80, section 3 where Nakamura discusses bi-level quantization of an image), comprising: an input part for receiving an image (figure 1 shows an input document image); a block classification part for dividing the received image into blocks (see page 77, section 2.1(3) where Nakamura discusses segmenting the image into blocks), and classifying the divided blocks into character blocks and background blocks (see page 77-78, section 2.1(4) and 2.2 where Nakamura discusses classifying the blocks based on attributes); a block growing part for growing the classified blocks (page 79, section 2.3(2): blocks are expanded), and restoring a misclassified block (figure 6 shows blocks reclassified during the expansion process); a block grouping part for grouping a character block output from the block growing part with its neighboring blocks, thereby generating a grouped block (see page 79, section 2.3(2): merging blocks that become adjacent); a block splitting part for separating the character block from the grouped block (see page 79, section 2.3(3) where Nakamura discusses dividing integrated areas into sub-blocks); and a binarization part for binarizing pixels of character blocks into a first brightness value for character pixels and

a second brightness value for background pixels by comparing the pixels of the character blocks with a threshold, and binarizing pixels of background blocks output from the block classification part into the second brightness value (see page 80, section 3, where Nakamura discusses the use of bi-level and notchless bi-level quantization of an image to threshold the image into a binary equivalent image).

While Nakamura discloses the block integration process for use on blocks classified as pictures elements, it would have been obvious to apply this same procedure to the text classified blocks, as the same results of grouping similarly classified blocks can be expected. Nakamura discloses the use of an edge enhancement operation (page 77, section 2.1(1): a Sobel operator is used to better define edges in the image) for use in classification, but does not use this on the image after classification. Also, the binarization process in Nakamura does not use a threshold established during the edge enhancement stage. Barski discloses an edge enhancement part for enhancing edges of a character block using relations between neighboring pixels in the character block, (column 6-7, lines 63-4: a mask which uses surrounding pixel values is used to enhance characters of a text area); and generating a threshold for distinguishing character pixels and background pixels of the character block which is used in a binarization process (column 7, lines 20-28: a histogram of the edge enhanced image is used to calculate a threshold used in binarization).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Nakamura, and modify the binarization process to

Application/Control Number: 10/767,061

Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

include an edge enhancement portion, as taught by Barski, thus bringing out higher frequency line details and improving optical character recognition of text, as discussed by Barski (column 6, lines 65-66 and column 2, lines 40-41).

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Nakamura discloses the method and device of claims 7 and 17, wherein the block growing part comprises: a dilation part for growing a character block and changing a block containing a character pixel, classified as a background block, to a character block (see page 79, section 2.3(2) where Nakamura discusses expanding blocks by one width on each side); and a closing part for eroding the dilated character block and deducting connected blocks (see page 79, section 2.2(5) where Nakamura discusses reclassifying blocks). Furthermore, the examiner takes official notice that the sequential process of dilation then erosion is known as closing and is known in the art to fill in small holes and gaps in pixel data.

2. Claims 2, 3, 6, 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Barski as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Shan Mo et al., "Adaptive, Quadratic Preprocessing Of Document Images For Binarization" (hereinafter "Mo").

Regarding claims 6 and 16, are representative of claims 2 and 12, Barski discloses an edge enhancement part in which any suitable edge enhancement technique can be used (column 7, lines 7-9). Mo discloses an edge enhancement technique comprising: a first threshold selection part for calculating a first threshold for classifying each pixel of the character block as a character pixel or a background pixel

Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

(page 995, section IIA1, column 1: finding a global threshold level); a mean computation part for classifying pixels of the character block into character pixels and background pixels on the basis of the first threshold (page 995, section IIA1, column 1: determining whether a pixel is a background or character pixel using the threshold), and calculating mean brightness values for character pixels and background pixels of the character block (page 995, section IIA1, column 2: calculate average gray levels for character and background pixels separately); a normalization part for normalizing the pixels of the character block using the mean brightness value for character pixels and the mean brightness value for background pixels output from the mean computation part so that the character pixels have a value close to `1` while the background pixels have a value close to `0` (page 995, section IIA1, column 2: normalize the data; column 1: with values close to zero and one); a quadratic operation part for performing a quadratic operation on the normalized character block to enhance edges of the character block and reduce noise of the character block (page 995, section IIA3: apply the quadratic filter discussed on page 994 to the normalized data); a denormalization part for denormalizing the quadratic-processed character block and providing the denormalized character block to the binarization part (page 995, section IIA4: the output of the quadratic filter denormalizes by mapping output values close to desired values for background and character pixels); and a second threshold selection part for calculating a second threshold for classifying each pixel of the denormalized character block as a character pixel or a background pixel, and outputting the second threshold as a threshold for the

binarization part (page 995, section IIA4: calculating a threshold for use in binarization).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Nakamura and Barski, and modify the edge enhancement means to use a quadratic preprocessing approach, as taught by Mo, thus improving the quality of binarized images, as discussed by Mo (see abstract).

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Mo discloses using quadratic filters to edge enhance images (see abstract of Mo).

3. Claims 4, 5, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Barski as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Ricardo L. de Queiroz et al., "Fast Segmentation Of The JPEG Compressed Documents" (hereinafter "de Queiroz").

Regarding claims 4 and 14, Nakamura discloses the method and device of claims 1 and 11, wherein the block classification part comprises an image division part for dividing the received image into blocks having a predetermined size (see page 77, section 2.1 where Nakamura discusses segmenting the image into blocks of 32 x 32 pixels). Nakamura also discloses thresholding and classification of an image based up on average energy in an image (see page 78, section 2.2 where Nakamura discusses using the mean level of the background as a threshold in determining block attributes). Nakamura does not explicitly disclose the use of discrete cosine

Application/Control Number: 10/767,061 Page 8

Art Unit: 2624

transform conversion blocks and using the energy calculation of said blocks for region classification.

de Queiroz discloses an image classification method including: a discrete cosine transform (DCT) conversion part for DCT-converting blocks output from the image division part (see page 368, section 2.1 where de Queiroz discusses JPEG compression involving the discrete cosine transform); an energy calculation part for calculating a sum of absolute values of dominant DCT coefficients within each of the DCT-converted blocks, and outputting the calculated sum as an energy value of the corresponding block (see page 369, section 2.2 where de Queiroz discusses computing the energy of each DCT block using the absolute value of the DCT coefficients).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Nakamura, and modify the energy detection means to include DCT coefficient block energy, as taught by de Queiroz, thus allowing faster segmentation and discrimination of areas in a compressed image, as discussed by de Queiroz (see page 367, section 1.1).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, de Queiroz discloses each of the blocks having a size of 8 x 8 pixels and a scalable summation for calculating the energy of each block (see pages 369-370, section 2.2 where de Queiroz discusses computing the AC energy of 64 pixel blocks).

Contact Information

Application/Control Number: 10/767,061 Page 9

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ERIC J. MOHR whose telephone number is (571)270-

5140. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm M-Th, 7:30am-4pm

Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric J Mohr/

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Jingge Wu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624